

NCGOA Spring Institute

A product formula for Pinsker factors with  
applications to completely positive entropy

Ben Hayes

Vanderbilt University

May 24, 2016

- My talk will be about probability measure-preserving actions of a fairly large class of groups (namely sofic groups).

# General Discussion

- My talk will be about probability measure-preserving actions of a fairly large class of groups (namely sofic groups).
- Specifically, I will talk about the entropy of such actions (due to Bowen, Kerr-Li).

- My talk will be about probability measure-preserving actions of a fairly large class of groups (namely sofic groups).
- Specifically, I will talk about the entropy of such actions (due to Bowen, Kerr-Li).
- Conceptually, the definition of sofic entropy is very close to Voiculescu's microstates free entropy dimension.

- My talk will be about probability measure-preserving actions of a fairly large class of groups (namely sofic groups).
- Specifically, I will talk about the entropy of such actions (due to Bowen, Kerr-Li).
- Conceptually, the definition of sofic entropy is very close to Voiculescu's microstates free entropy dimension.
- It has connections to deformation/rigidity theory, through classification of Bernoulli shifts.

- My talk will be about probability measure-preserving actions of a fairly large class of groups (namely sofic groups).
- Specifically, I will talk about the entropy of such actions (due to Bowen, Kerr-Li).
- Conceptually, the definition of sofic entropy is very close to Voiculescu's microstates free entropy dimension.
- It has connections to deformation/rigidity theory, through classification of Bernoulli shifts.
- I will also give applications to actions on compact groups by automorphisms and talk about how this connects with functional analysis/operator theory.

## Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.)

# Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.) Equivalently, there exists  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  so that:



# Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.) Equivalently, there exists  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  so that:

- $\|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $g, h \in G$

# Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.) Equivalently, there exists  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  so that:

- $\|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $g, h \in G$
- $\text{tr}(\sigma_k(g)) \rightarrow 0$  (for all  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ ).

# Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.) Equivalently, there exists  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  so that:

- $\|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $g, h \in G$
- $\text{tr}(\sigma_k(g)) \rightarrow 0$  (for all  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ ).

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 = \\ & \frac{1}{d_k} |\{1 \leq j \leq d_k : \sigma_k(gh)(j) \neq \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)(j)\}|, \\ & \text{tr}(\sigma_k(g)) = \frac{1}{d_k} |\{1 \leq j \leq d_k : \sigma_k(g)(j) \neq j\}|. \end{aligned}$$

# Beginning of Sofic Entropy: Sofic Groups

A countable, discrete group  $G$  is *sofic* if there is a trace-preserving embedding  $L(G) \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which takes  $G \rightarrow \prod_{k \rightarrow \omega} S_k$  (here  $S_k$  is the group of permutations.) Equivalently, there exists  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  so that:

- $\|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $g, h \in G$
- $\text{tr}(\sigma_k(g)) \rightarrow 0$  (for all  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ ).

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sigma_k(gh) - \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)\|_{L^2(\text{tr})}^2 = \\ & \frac{1}{d_k} |\{1 \leq j \leq d_k : \sigma_k(gh)(j) \neq \sigma_k(g)\sigma_k(h)(j)\}|, \\ & \text{tr}(\sigma_k(g)) = \frac{1}{d_k} |\{1 \leq j \leq d_k : \sigma_k(g)(j) \neq j\}|. \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\sigma_k$  as above a sofic approximation.

# Examples of Sofic Groups:

- Amenable Groups,
- Residually Finite Groups,

# Examples of Sofic Groups:

- Amenable Groups,
- Residually Finite Groups,
- Residually Sofic Groups,

# Examples of Sofic Groups:

- Amenable Groups,
- Residually Finite Groups,
- Residually Sofic Groups,
- Increasing unions of sofic groups,

# Examples of Sofic Groups:

- Amenable Groups,
- Residually Finite Groups,
- Residually Sofic Groups,
- Increasing unions of sofic groups,
- Closed under free products with amalgamation over amenable groups (Paunescu, Dykema-Kerr-Pichot, Popa)



# Examples of Sofic Groups:

- Amenable Groups,
- Residually Finite Groups,
- Residually Sofic Groups,
- Increasing unions of sofic groups,
- Closed under free products with amalgamation over amenable groups (Paunescu, Dykema-Kerr-Pichot, Popa)
- Closed under wreath products (H.-Sale).

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ .

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

Goal: Find  $\mu_k \in \text{Prob}(A^{d_k})$  so that  $(\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$  is "close" to  $\mu$  with high probability (wrt  $\mu_k$ ).

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

Goal: Find  $\mu_k \in \text{Prob}(A^{d_k})$  so that  $(\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$  is "close" to  $\mu$  with high probability (wrt  $\mu_k$ ).

One way to say this is as follows:

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

Goal: Find  $\mu_k \in \text{Prob}(A^{d_k})$  so that  $(\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$  is "close" to  $\mu$  with high probability (wrt  $\mu_k$ ).

One way to say this is as follows: consider  $\Phi: A^{d_k} \rightarrow \text{Prob}(A^G)$  given by  $\Phi(a) = (\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$ .

# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

Goal: Find  $\mu_k \in \text{Prob}(A^{d_k})$  so that  $(\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$  is "close" to  $\mu$  with high probability (wrt  $\mu_k$ ).

One way to say this is as follows: consider  $\Phi: A^{d_k} \rightarrow \text{Prob}(A^G)$  given by  $\Phi(a) = (\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$ . Set  $\widetilde{\mu}_k = \Phi_*(\mu_k)$ .



# Definition of Sofic entropy I

We present the simple case of the definition of entropy when  $G \curvearrowright A^G$ , where  $A$  is a finite set and  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant, Borel, probability measure on  $A^G$ . Given a sofic approximation  $\sigma_k: G \rightarrow S_{d_k}$  and  $a \in A^{d_k}$ , define  $\phi_a: \{1, \dots, d_k\} \rightarrow A^G$  by

$$\phi_a(j)(g) = a(\sigma_k(g)^{-1}(j))$$

Point: for all  $h \in G$ ,  $\phi_a(\sigma_k(h)(j)) \approx h\phi_a(j)$  for most  $j$  (with "close" being measured in the product topology).

Goal: Find  $\mu_k \in \text{Prob}(A^{d_k})$  so that  $(\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$  is "close" to  $\mu$  with high probability (wrt  $\mu_k$ ).

One way to say this is as follows: consider  $\Phi: A^{d_k} \rightarrow \text{Prob}(A^G)$  given by  $\Phi(a) = (\phi_a)_*(\mu_k)$ . Set  $\widetilde{\mu}_k = \Phi_*(\mu_k)$ . We then say  $\mu_k$  models  $\mu$  if  $\widetilde{\mu}_k \rightarrow \delta_\mu$  weak\*.

## Definition of Sofic Entropy II

More concretely,  $\mu_k$  models  $\mu$  if and only if for every  $wk^*$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mu$  in  $\text{Prob}(A^\Gamma)$  we have

$$\mu_k(\{a : (\phi_a)_*(u_{d_k}) \in \mathcal{O}\}) \rightarrow 1.$$

## Definition of Sofic Entropy II

More concretely,  $\mu_k$  models  $\mu$  if and only if for every  $wk^*$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mu$  in  $\text{Prob}(A^\Gamma)$  we have

$$\mu_k(\{a : (\phi_a)_*(u_{d_k}) \in \mathcal{O}\}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Define the *sofic entropy* of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  by

$$h_{(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \mu}(X, G) = \sup_{\{\mu_k\}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\mu_k)}{d_k},$$

where the supremum is over all sequences  $\mu_k$  with  $\mu_k$  modeling  $\mu$ .  
Here

$$H(Y, \nu) = - \sum_{y \in Y} \nu(\{y\}) \log \nu(\{y\})$$

(interpreting  $0 \log 0 = 0$ ) if  $(Y, \nu)$  is atomic.

# Entropy in the Presence

For our purposes, we will need a modified version for inclusions

$$G \curvearrowright (P, \mu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu).$$

# Entropy in the Presence

For our purposes, we will need a modified version for inclusions

$$G \curvearrowright (P, \mu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu).$$

Up to isomorphism, we can think of this as the following situation:

we have finite sets  $A, B$  with a surjective map  $\pi: A \rightarrow B$  so that:

$$(P, \mu) = L^\infty(B^G, \Pi_* \mu) \text{ where } \Pi(a)(g) = \pi(a(g)).$$

# Entropy in the Presence

For our purposes, we will need a modified version for inclusions  $G \curvearrowright (P, \mu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

Up to isomorphism, we can think of this as the following situation: we have finite sets  $A, B$  with a surjective map  $\pi: A \rightarrow B$  so that:

$$(P, \mu) = L^\infty(B^G, \Pi_*\mu) \text{ where } \Pi(a)(g) = \pi(a(g)).$$

We then set

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_{k, \mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = \sup_{\{\mu_k\}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H((\pi^{d_k})_*\mu)}{d_k}.$$

# Entropy in the Presence

For our purposes, we will need a modified version for inclusions  $G \curvearrowright (P, \mu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

Up to isomorphism, we can think of this as the following situation: we have finite sets  $A, B$  with a surjective map  $\pi: A \rightarrow B$  so that:

$$(P, \mu) = L^\infty(B^G, \Pi_*\mu) \text{ where } \Pi(a)(g) = \pi(a(g)).$$

We then set

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_{k,\mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = \sup_{\{\mu_k\}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H((\pi^{d_k})_*\mu)}{d_k}.$$

We call this the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright P$  in the presence of  $G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It is monotone increasing in the first variable and monotone decreasing in the second variable.

# Entropy in the Presence

For our purposes, we will need a modified version for inclusions  $G \curvearrowright (P, \mu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

Up to isomorphism, we can think of this as the following situation: we have finite sets  $A, B$  with a surjective map  $\pi: A \rightarrow B$  so that:

$$(P, \mu) = L^\infty(B^G, \Pi_*\mu) \text{ where } \Pi(a)(g) = \pi(a(g)).$$

We then set

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_{k,\mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = \sup_{\{\mu_k\}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H((\pi^{d_k})_*\mu)}{d_k}.$$

We call this the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright P$  in the presence of  $G \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It is monotone increasing in the first variable and monotone decreasing in the second variable. There is also a packing number way to say measure entropy in the presence due to  $H$ . and a topological version due to Li-Liang (defined similarly).



# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that  $h_{(\sigma_k)_{k, \mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ .

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that  $h_{(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \mu}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ .

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that  $h_{(\sigma_k)_{k, \mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Often we denote  $P = \Pi_{(\sigma_k), G}(X, \mu)$ .

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that

$h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Often we denote  $P = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu)$ .

Because its definition is natural, we expect that if we start with an action with a lot of structure we expect the Pinsker algebra to have much of the same structure.

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that

$h_{(\sigma_k)_{k, \mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Often we denote  $P = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, \mu)$ .

Because its definition is natural, we expect that if we start with an action with a lot of structure we expect the Pinsker algebra to have much of the same structure.

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ ,  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  are weak mixing actions, then*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu) = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(Y, \nu).$$

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that

$h_{(\sigma_k)_{k, \mu}}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Often we denote  $P = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, \mu)$ .

Because its definition is natural, we expect that if we start with an action with a lot of structure we expect the Pinsker algebra to have much of the same structure.

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ ,  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  are weak mixing actions, then*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu) = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(Y, \nu).$$

In the amenable case, this theorem is due to Glasner-Thouevnot-Weiss in the free case and Danilenko in general.

# The Outer Pinsker algebra

Given a pmp action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ , we let  $P$  be the largest von Neumann subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  so that

$h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(P : L^\infty(X, \mu), G) = 0$ . We call this the Outer Pinsker algebra of  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Often we denote  $P = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu)$ .

Because its definition is natural, we expect that if we start with an action with a lot of structure we expect the Pinsker algebra to have much of the same structure.

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ ,  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  are weak mixing actions, then*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \nu) = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(Y, \nu).$$

In the amenable case, this theorem is due to Glasner-Thouevnot-Weiss in the free case and Danilenko in general. The weak mixing condition can be weakened using Austin's doubly-quenched convergence, but we won't go in details on this.

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  
 $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$ .



## Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu)|Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu)|Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It then suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y, \nu)) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu)|Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu)|Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It then suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y, \nu)) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

We then reduce to the case where  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu))$  acts ergodically on  $(Y, \nu)$ .

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It then suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y, \nu)) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

We then reduce to the case where  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu))$  acts ergodically on  $(Y, \nu)$ .

This is because if  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  is any action, then there is an extension  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$  (i.e.  $G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Y, \nu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Z, \zeta)$ ) so that  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta))$  acts ergodically on  $(Z, \zeta)$ .

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It then suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y, \nu)) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

We then reduce to the case where  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu))$  acts ergodically on  $(Y, \nu)$ .

This is because if  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  is any action, then there is an extension  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$  (i.e.  $G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Y, \nu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Z, \zeta)$ ) so that  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta))$  acts ergodically on  $(Z, \zeta)$ .

For example, take  $(Z, \zeta) = (Y, \nu)^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $G$  acting diagonally.

# Sketch of Proof of Pinsker Product Formula

If  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X)$ , one can define a relative entropy  $h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$ . Using this, one can define a relative outer Pinsker algebra  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(L^\infty(X, \mu) | Q)$  for a fixed  $Q \subseteq L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . It then suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y, \nu)) \\ = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

We then reduce to the case where  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu))$  acts ergodically on  $(Y, \nu)$ .

This is because if  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  is any action, then there is an extension  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta)$  (i.e.  $G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Y, \nu) \subseteq G \curvearrowright L^\infty(Z, \zeta)$ ) so that  $\text{Aut}(G \curvearrowright (Z, \zeta))$  acts ergodically on  $(Z, \zeta)$ .

For example, take  $(Z, \zeta) = (Y, \nu)^\mathbb{Z}$  with  $G$  acting diagonally. Then the Bernoulli action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $(Z, \zeta)$  commutes with the  $G$ -action and is ergodic.

## Sketch of Proof Continued

We now use the following general fact: if  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha (N, \tau)$  is an ergodic trace-preserving action, if  $Q$  is any von Neumann algebra and  $Q \otimes 1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \overline{\otimes} N$  and  $(1 \otimes \alpha_g)(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$ , then  $P = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} N$  for some subalgebra  $Q_0 \subseteq P$ .

## Sketch of Proof Continued

We now use the following general fact: if  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha (N, \tau)$  is an ergodic trace-preserving action, if  $Q$  is any von Neumann algebra and  $Q \otimes 1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \overline{\otimes} N$  and  $(1 \otimes \alpha_g)(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$ , then  $P = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} N$  for some subalgebra  $Q_0 \subseteq P$ . In our case, we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$



## Sketch of Proof Continued

We now use the following general fact: if  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha (N, \tau)$  is an ergodic trace-preserving action, if  $Q$  is any von Neumann algebra and  $Q \otimes 1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \overline{\otimes} N$  and  $(1 \otimes \alpha_g)(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$ , then  $P = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} N$  for some subalgebra  $Q_0 \subseteq P$ . In our case, we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$

Using weak mixing and results of Austin, we have

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu \otimes \nu}(Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(Q_0 : L^\infty(X)).$$

## Sketch of Proof Continued

We now use the following general fact: if  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha (N, \tau)$  is an ergodic trace-preserving action, if  $Q$  is any von Neumann algebra and  $Q \otimes 1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \overline{\otimes} N$  and  $(1 \otimes \alpha_g)(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$ , then  $P = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} N$  for some subalgebra  $Q_0 \subseteq P$ . In our case, we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$

Using weak mixing and results of Austin, we have

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu \otimes \nu}(Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(Q_0 : L^\infty(X)).$$

It follows that  $Q_0 \subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) &\subseteq \\ &\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y), \end{aligned}$$

## Sketch of Proof Continued

We now use the following general fact: if  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha (N, \tau)$  is an ergodic trace-preserving action, if  $Q$  is any von Neumann algebra and  $Q \otimes 1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \overline{\otimes} N$  and  $(1 \otimes \alpha_g)(P) = P$  for every  $g \in G$ , then  $P = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} N$  for some subalgebra  $Q_0 \subseteq P$ . In our case, we have

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$

Using weak mixing and results of Austin, we have

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu \otimes \nu}(Q_0 \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y, \nu) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \mu}(Q_0 : L^\infty(X)).$$

It follows that  $Q_0 \subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(L^\infty(X \times Y) | 1 \otimes L^\infty(Y)) &\subseteq \\ &\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y), \end{aligned}$$

the reverse inclusion is obvious and this proves the theorem.

## Corollary

*Let  $X$  be a compact group with Haar measure  $m_X$ . Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  is ergodic. Then there exists a unique, closed, normal subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  so that*

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X) = L^\infty(X/Y).$$

## Corollary

Let  $X$  be a compact group with Haar measure  $m_X$ . Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  is ergodic. Then there exists a unique, closed, normal subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  so that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X) = L^\infty(X/Y).$$

We following arguments of Berg, Chung-Li. Consider  $\Delta: L^\infty(X, m_X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X)$  defined by  $\Delta(f)(x, y) = f(xy)$ .

## Corollary

Let  $X$  be a compact group with Haar measure  $m_X$ . Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  is ergodic. Then there exists a unique, closed, normal subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  so that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, m_X) = L^\infty(X/Y).$$

We following arguments of Berg, Chung-Li. Consider  $\Delta: L^\infty(X, m_X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X)$  defined by  $\Delta(f)(x, y) = f(xy)$ . Then because  $\Delta$  is  $G$ -equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, m_X)) &\subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, m_X) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k, G}}(X, m_X). \end{aligned}$$

## Corollary

Let  $X$  be a compact group with Haar measure  $m_X$ . Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  is ergodic. Then there exists a unique, closed, normal subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  so that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X) = L^\infty(X/Y).$$

We following arguments of Berg, Chung-Li. Consider  $\Delta: L^\infty(X, m_X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X)$  defined by  $\Delta(f)(x, y) = f(xy)$ . Then because  $\Delta$  is  $G$ -equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X)) &\subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X).\end{aligned}$$

Similar considerations applied to  $\eta \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(X, \mu))$  defined by  $\eta(f)(x) = f(x^{-1})$  show that

$$\eta(\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, \mu)) = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, \mu).$$

## Corollary

Let  $X$  be a compact group with Haar measure  $m_X$ . Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  is ergodic. Then there exists a unique, closed, normal subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  so that

$$\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X) = L^\infty(X/Y).$$

We following arguments of Berg, Chung-Li. Consider  $\Delta: L^\infty(X, m_X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X)$  defined by  $\Delta(f)(x, y) = f(xy)$ . Then because  $\Delta$  is  $G$ -equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X)) &\subseteq \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X \times X, m_X \otimes m_X) \\ &= \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X) \overline{\otimes} \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, m_X). \end{aligned}$$

Similar considerations applied to  $\eta \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(X, \mu))$  defined by  $\eta(f)(x) = f(x^{-1})$  show that

$$\eta(\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, \mu)) = \Pi_{(\sigma_k)_{k,G}}(X, \mu).$$



# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions

This proves that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X)$  is invariant under left/right translation by elements and the corollary follows. We apply this to the following example.

Given  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ , let  $X_A$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} / \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus k} A$ .

# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions

This proves that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X)$  is invariant under left/right translation by elements and the corollary follows. We apply this to the following example.

Given  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ , let  $X_A$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} / \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus k} A$ .

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ . Then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  has trivial Pinsker algebra. Thus the restriction of the action of  $G$  to any  $G$ -invariant subalgebra has positive entropy.*

In other words,  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  has completely positive entropy.

# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions

This proves that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X)$  is invariant under left/right translation by elements and the corollary follows. We apply this to the following example.

Given  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ , let  $X_A$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} / \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus k} A$ .

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ . Then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  has trivial Pinsker algebra. Thus the restriction of the action of  $G$  to any  $G$ -invariant subalgebra has positive entropy.*

In other words,  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  has completely positive entropy. We sketch the proof.

# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions

This proves that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X)$  is invariant under left/right translation by elements and the corollary follows. We apply this to the following example.

Given  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ , let  $X_A$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} / \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus k} A$ .

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ . Then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  has trivial Pinsker algebra. Thus the restriction of the action of  $G$  to any  $G$ -invariant subalgebra has positive entropy.*

In other words,  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  has completely positive entropy. We sketch the proof. By Peterson-Li-Schmidt, the action of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  is ergodic.

# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions

This proves that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X, m_X)$  is invariant under left/right translation by elements and the corollary follows. We apply this to the following example.

Given  $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$ , let  $X_A$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus n} / \mathbb{Z}(G)^{\oplus k} A$ .

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ . Then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  has trivial Pinsker algebra. Thus the restriction of the action of  $G$  to any  $G$ -invariant subalgebra has positive entropy.*

In other words,  $G \curvearrowright (X, m_X)$  has completely positive entropy. We sketch the proof. By Peterson-Li-Schmidt, the action of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  is ergodic. Hence, we may find a closed, normal,  $G$ -invariant subgroup  $Y$  of  $X_A$  so that  $\Pi_{(\sigma_k)_k, G}(X_A, m_{X_A}) = L^\infty(X_A/Y)$ .

# Applications I: Specific Algebraic Actions II

We now use the following results:

We now use the following results:

## Theorem (H.)

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  and that  $\lambda(A)$  is injective on  $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$ , then for any closed, normal  $G$ -invariant  $Y \subseteq X_A$  we have:*

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X_A, G).$$

We now use the following results:

## Theorem (H.)

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  and that  $\lambda(A)$  is injective on  $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$ , then for any closed, normal  $G$ -invariant  $Y \subseteq X_A$  we have:*

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X_A, G).$$

## Theorem (H.)

*Suppose that  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  and that  $\lambda(A)$  is injective on  $\ell^2(G)^{\oplus n}$ , then for any closed, normal  $G$ -invariant  $Y \subseteq X_A$  with  $Y \neq X_A$  we have:*

$$h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X_A, G) > 0.$$



Returning to our previous situation, by the definition of the Outer Pinsker factor we have:

Returning to our previous situation, by the definition of the Outer Pinsker factor we have:

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G)$$

Returning to our previous situation, by the definition of the Outer Pinsker factor we have:

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X, G),$$

Returning to our previous situation, by the definition of the Outer Pinsker factor we have:

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X, G),$$

(using the first theorem on the last slide).

Returning to our previous situation, by the definition of the Outer Pinsker factor we have:

$$0 = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, m_{X_A}}(L^\infty(X_A/Y) : L^\infty(X_A), G) = h_{(\sigma_k)_k, \text{top}}(X_A/Y : X, G),$$

(using the first theorem on the last slide). The second theorem on the last slide implies that  $X_A = Y$ .

# Applications II

Interest of the above result:

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ ,



Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).
- Replaces Kerr's result that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^G$  has measure-theoretic complete positive entropy.

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).
- Replaces Kerr's result that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^G$  has measure-theoretic complete positive entropy. Our proof is also structural, whereas Kerr's is more of a bare hands approach.

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).
- Replaces Kerr's result that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^G$  has measure-theoretic complete positive entropy. Our proof is also structural, whereas Kerr's is more of a bare hands approach.
- I think that when  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ , then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  should be Bernoulli or close to Bernoulli.

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).
- Replaces Kerr's result that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^G$  has measure-theoretic complete positive entropy. Our proof is also structural, whereas Kerr's is more of a bare hands approach.
- I think that when  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ , then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  should be Bernoulli or close to Bernoulli. This adds cpe to the list of many Bernoulli-like properties these actions have (mixing, spectral gap, Lebesgue spectrum etc.).

Interest of the above result:

- Furthers the existing connections between the ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  and the operator theoretic properties of  $A: \ell^2(G)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)^{\oplus m}$  for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}(G))$  (e.g. connections between finiteness of the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright X_A$  and injectivity of  $A$ , the entropy of  $A$  and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of  $A$ , due to Schmidt, Deninger, Li, Thom, Bowen, H.).
- Replaces Kerr's result that  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^G$  has measure-theoretic complete positive entropy. Our proof is also structural, whereas Kerr's is more of a bare hands approach.
- I think that when  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \cap GL_n(L(G))$ , then  $G \curvearrowright (X_A, m_{X_A})$  should be Bernoulli or close to Bernoulli. This adds cpe to the list of many Bernoulli-like properties these actions have (mixing, spectral gap, Lebesgue spectrum etc.). There may be cohomological obstructions related to Popa's cocycle superrigidity theorem (see e.g. preprint of Y. Jiang).

We present another ergodic-theoretic application (due to Bowen-Seward).

We present another ergodic-theoretic application (due to Bowen-Seward). Fix  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Let  $L: \mathbb{T}^G \rightarrow [0, 1)^G$  be defined by  $L(x)(g) \equiv x(g) \pmod{1}$ .



We present another ergodic-theoretic application (due to Bowen-Seward). Fix  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Let  $L: \mathbb{T}^G \rightarrow [0, 1)^G$  be defined by  $L(x)(g) \equiv x(g) \pmod{1}$ . Note that we have a well-defined map  $\phi: X_f \rightarrow \{-N, \dots, N\}^G$  given by  $\phi(x) = L(x) * f^*$ .

We present another ergodic-theoretic application (due to Bowen-Seward). Fix  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(G)$ . Let  $L: \mathbb{T}^G \rightarrow [0, 1)^G$  be defined by  $L(x)(g) \equiv x(g) \pmod{1}$ . Note that we have a well-defined map  $\phi: X_f \rightarrow \{-N, \dots, N\}^G$  given by  $\phi(x) = L(x) * f^*$ . It is natural to ask when this is injective (modulo null sets).

## Theorem (Bowen-Seward)

*The map  $\phi$  is injective if  $G$  is sofic and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}(G) \cap L(G)^\times$ .*

First, one can build a complementary factor  $\Psi: X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^G$  as follows:

First, one can build a complementary factor  $\Psi: X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^G$  as follows: let

$$m_{X_f} = \int_{\{-N, \dots, N\}^G} \mu_x d\Psi_*(m_{X_f})(x).$$

# Sketch of the Proof

First, one can build a complementary factor  $\Psi: X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^G$  as follows: let

$$m_{X_f} = \int_{\{-N, \dots, N\}^G} \mu_x d\Psi_*(m_{X_f})(x).$$

Now define  $\eta$  by

$$\eta(\theta)(g) = \int_{X_f} L(\alpha)(g) d\mu_{L(\theta)}(\alpha).$$

and set  $\Psi(\theta) = \eta(\theta) - L(\theta)$ .

# Sketch of the Proof

First, one can build a complementary factor  $\Psi: X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^G$  as follows: let

$$m_{X_f} = \int_{\{-N, \dots, N\}^G} \mu_x d\Psi_*(m_{X_f})(x).$$

Now define  $\eta$  by

$$\eta(\theta)(g) = \int_{X_f} L(\alpha)(g) d\mu_{L(\theta)}(\alpha).$$

and set  $\Psi(\theta) = \eta(\theta) - L(\theta)$ . Note that  $\Psi$  actually lands in  $Y_f = \{y \in [-C, C]^G : y * f^* = 0\}$ . Set  $\nu = \Psi_* m_{X_f}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{Y}: Y_f \rightarrow [-C, C]$  by  $\mathcal{Y}(y) = y(1)$ . Note that the  $G$ -translates of  $\mathcal{Y}$  generate  $L^\infty(Y_f, \nu)$  as a von Neumann algebra. Set  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{Span}(G\mathcal{Y})}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$ . We claim that  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G)) = \{0\}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{Y}: Y_f \rightarrow [-C, C]$  by  $\mathcal{Y}(y) = y(1)$ . Note that the  $G$ -translates of  $\mathcal{Y}$  generate  $L^\infty(Y_f, \nu)$  as a von Neumann algebra. Set  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{Span}(G\mathcal{Y})}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$ . We claim that  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G)) = \{0\}$ . If  $T \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G))$ , then as  $f\mathcal{Y} = 0$ , we have

$$0 = T(f\mathcal{Y}) = \lambda(f)T(\mathcal{Y}),$$

and so, by invertibility of  $\mathcal{Y}$  we have  $T(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$ .



Define  $\mathcal{Y}: Y_f \rightarrow [-C, C]$  by  $\mathcal{Y}(y) = y(1)$ . Note that the  $G$ -translates of  $\mathcal{Y}$  generate  $L^\infty(Y_f, \nu)$  as a von Neumann algebra. Set  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{Span}(G\mathcal{Y})}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$ . We claim that  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G)) = \{0\}$ . If  $T \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G))$ , then as  $f\mathcal{Y} = 0$ , we have

$$0 = T(f\mathcal{Y}) = \lambda(f)T(\mathcal{Y}),$$

and so, by invertibility of  $\mathcal{Y}$  we have  $T(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$ .

It follows by a result of (H.) that the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  is 0.

Define  $\mathcal{Y}: Y_f \rightarrow [-C, C]$  by  $\mathcal{Y}(y) = y(1)$ . Note that the  $G$ -translates of  $\mathcal{Y}$  generate  $L^\infty(Y_f, \nu)$  as a von Neumann algebra. Set  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{Span}(G\mathcal{Y})}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$ . We claim that  $\text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G)) = \{0\}$ . If  $T \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(G))$ , then as  $f\mathcal{Y} = 0$ , we have

$$0 = T(f\mathcal{Y}) = \lambda(f)T(\mathcal{Y}),$$

and so, by invertibility of  $\mathcal{Y}$  we have  $T(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$ .

It follows by a result of (H.) that the entropy of  $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  is 0. By our previous cpe result, we know that  $\Psi$  is almost surely constant. It follows from this that  $\Phi$  is injective modulo null sets.