

Invariant random subgroups III

Simon Thomas

Rutgers University

7th November 2018

1097th Anniversary of the Treaty of Bonn

Pop Quiz

Problem

Classify the ergodic IRSs of Hall's universal locally finite group.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.
- Let K be any countably infinite locally finite field.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.
- Let K be any countably infinite locally finite field.
- Then there exists a subgroup $H < U$ such that $H \cong \text{PSL}(n, K)$ for some $n \geq 2$.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.
- Let K be any countably infinite locally finite field.
- Then there exists a subgroup $g, h \in H < U$ such that $H \cong PSL(n, K)$ for some $n \geq 2$.
- There exists $r \in [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \upharpoonright H = r\chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r)\chi_{\text{reg}}$.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.
- Let K be any countably infinite locally finite field.
- Then there exists a subgroup $g, h \in H < U$ such that $H \cong PSL(n, K)$ for some $n \geq 2$.
- There exists $r \in [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \upharpoonright H = r\chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r)\chi_{\text{reg}}$.
- Thus $\chi(g) = \chi(h) = r$.

Theorem

Hall's universal locally finite group U is character rigid.

- Let χ be a character of U .
- Let $g, h \in U \setminus 1$.
- Let K be any countably infinite locally finite field.
- Then there exists a subgroup $H < U$ such that $H \cong \text{PSL}(n, K)$ for some $n \geq 2$.
- There exists $r \in [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \upharpoonright H = r\chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r)\chi_{\text{reg}}$.
- Thus $\chi(g) = \chi(h) = r$.
- Hence $\chi = r\chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r)\chi_{\text{reg}}$.

Definition

If ν is an IRS of the countable group G , then the **associated character** is defined by $\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$.

Theorem (Thomas 2018)

*If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are **precisely** the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.*

The Indecomposability Problem

Prove that if $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is an ergodic IRS of G , then the associated character χ_ν is indecomposable.

Remark

It is known that χ_{con} , χ_{reg} are indecomposable and so we can suppose that ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS.

Indecomposable characters of $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups

The Indecomposability Problem

Prove that if $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is an ergodic IRS of G , then the associated character χ_ν is indecomposable.

Remark

It is known that χ_{con} , χ_{reg} are indecomposable and so we can suppose that ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS.

The Realizability Problem

Prove that if $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and χ is an indecomposable character, then there exists an ergodic IRS ν of G such that $\chi = \chi_\nu$.

The Indecomposability Problem

Definition

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic action, then the associated character is

$$\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)).$$

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

The Indecomposability Problem

Definition

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic action, then the associated character is

$$\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)).$$

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

Definition (Vershik)

An ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is said to be **extremely non-free** if the map $z \mapsto G_z$ is μ -a.e. injective.

Notation

- Let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be measure-preserving.

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Notation

- Let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be measure-preserving.
- Let \mathcal{M} be the sigma-algebra of μ -measurable subsets of Z and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be the collection μ -null subsets of Z .

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Notation

- Let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be measure-preserving.
- Let \mathcal{M} be the sigma-algebra of μ -measurable subsets of Z and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be the collection μ -null subsets of Z .
- For each $Y \in \mathcal{M}$, let $G_{(Y)} = \{g \in G \mid \mu(\text{supp}(g) \cap Y) = 0\}$.

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Notation

- Let $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ be measure-preserving.
- Let \mathcal{M} be the sigma-algebra of μ -measurable subsets of Z and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be the collection μ -null subsets of Z .
- For each $Y \in \mathcal{M}$, let $G_{(Y)} = \{g \in G \mid \mu(\text{supp}(g) \cap Y) = 0\}$.

Definition (Dudko-Grigorchuk)

A measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is said to be *perfectly non-free* if there exists a collection $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that:

- $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{N}$ generates sigma-algebra \mathcal{M} ;
- for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the orbits $G_{(X \setminus A)} \cdot z$ are infinite for μ -a.e. $z \in A$.

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Theorem (Dudko-Grigorchuk 2018)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic, perfectly non-free action of a countable group on a standard probability space, then the character $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is indecomposable.

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Theorem (Dudko-Grigorchuk 2018)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic, perfectly non-free action of a countable group on a standard probability space, then the character $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is indecomposable.

Theorem (Thomas 2018)

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS of G , then ν is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic, perfectly non-free action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$.

A Sufficient Condition for Indecomposability

Theorem (Dudko-Grigorchuk 2018)

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic, perfectly non-free action of a countable group on a standard probability space, then the character $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$ is indecomposable.

Theorem (Thomas 2018)

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS of G , then ν is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic, perfectly non-free action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$.

Corollary (Thomas 2018)

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups and ν is an ergodic IRS of G , then the associated character χ_ν is indecomposable.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.
- Let $\varphi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})}$ be the $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ -equivariant map defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi)$.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

Theorem (Thoma 1964)

If χ is a character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) χ is indecomposable.
- (ii) If $g, h \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have disjoint supports, then $\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h)$.

- Let μ be the uniform product probability measure on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then the shift action $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$ is ergodic.
- For each $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $i = 0, 1$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.
- Let $\varphi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})}$ be the $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ -equivariant map defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi)$.
- Then $\nu = \varphi_*\mu$ is an ergodic IRS of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

and hence

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)) = 1/2^4 + 1/2^4 = \chi_\nu((56)(78));$$

A Decomposable Character of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

The associated character is

$$\chi_\nu(\mathbf{g}) = \mu(\{ \xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \text{Alt}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Alt}(B_1^\xi) \});$$

and hence

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)) = 1/2^4 + 1/2^4 = \chi_\nu((56)(78));$$

while, on the other hand,

$$\chi_\nu((12)(34)(56)(78)) = \frac{\binom{4}{0} + \binom{4}{2} + \binom{4}{4}}{2^8} = 1/2^5.$$

The Main Theorem Revisited

Definition

- G is an **$L(\text{Alt})$ -group** if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i .
- Here we allow **arbitrary** embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Thomas 2018)

*If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are **precisely** the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.*

Thoma's Classification

If $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}), \text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are precisely the functions $\chi_{(\alpha;\beta)} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that there exist two sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ and $\beta = (\beta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ of non-negative real numbers satisfying

- $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_i \geq \dots \geq 0$;
- $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_i \geq \dots \geq 0$;
- $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i \leq 1$;

such that

$$\chi_{(\alpha;\beta)}(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)},$$

where $c_n(g)$ is the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g .

A non-realizable indecomposable character

Fact

Thoma's classification of the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ includes

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((1/2)^n + (-1)^{n+1} (1/2)^n \right)^{c_n(g)},$$

where $c_n(g)$ is the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g .

A non-realizable indecomposable character

Fact

Thoma's classification of the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ includes

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((1/2)^n + (-1)^{n+1} (1/2)^n \right)^{c_n(g)},$$

where $c_n(g)$ is the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g .

Example

Thus $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$ and $\chi((ab)(cd)) = 0$.

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(\mathbf{g}) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid \mathbf{g} \in H\}).$$

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid g \in H\}).$$

- Since $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$, there exist $n \neq m$ such that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (12n), (12m) \in H\}) > 0.$$

A non-realizable indecomposable character

- Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS such that

$$\chi(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid g \in H\}).$$

- Since $\chi((abc)) = 1/4$, there exist $n \neq m$ such that

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (12n), (12m) \in H\}) > 0.$$

- But $(12n)(12m) = (1n)(2m)$ and

$$\nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})} \mid (1n)(2m) \in H\}) = 0.$$

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

- Let $\alpha = (\alpha_j) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that:
 - $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_j \geq \dots \geq 0$
 - $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

- Let $\alpha = (\alpha_j) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that:
 - $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_j \geq \dots \geq 0$
 - $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.
- Define a probability measure p_α on \mathbb{N} by $p_\alpha(\{i\}) = \alpha_i$.

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

- Let $\alpha = (\alpha_j) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that:
 - $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_j \geq \dots \geq 0$
 - $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.
- Define a probability measure p_α on \mathbb{N} by $p_\alpha(\{i\}) = \alpha_i$.
- Let μ_α be the corresponding product probability measure on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik’s many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

- Let $\alpha = (\alpha_j) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that:
 - $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_j \geq \dots \geq 0$
 - $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.
- Define a probability measure p_α on \mathbb{N} by $p_\alpha(\{i\}) = \alpha_i$.
- Let μ_α be the corresponding product probability measure on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu_\alpha)$ acts ergodically via the shift action $(\pi \cdot \xi)(n) = \xi(\pi^{-1}(n))$.

How should we interpret “closely related”?

One of Vershik's many insights

The indecomposable characters of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ are “closely related” to its ergodic IRSs.

- Let $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that:
 - $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_i \geq \dots \geq 0$
 - $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.
- Define a probability measure p_α on \mathbb{N} by $p_\alpha(\{i\}) = \alpha_i$.
- Let μ_α be the corresponding product probability measure on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- Then $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N}) \curvearrowright (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu_\alpha)$ acts ergodically via the shift action $(\pi \cdot \xi)(n) = \xi(\pi^{-1}(n))$.
- For each $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$.

The ergodic IRSs of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$

- Let $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ and let $S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$, where each $C_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is cyclic of order 2.

The ergodic IRSs of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$

- Let $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ and let $S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$, where each $C_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is cyclic of order 2.
- Fix some subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$.

The ergodic IRSs of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$

- Let $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ and let $S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$, where each $C_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is cyclic of order 2.
- Fix some subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$.
- Let ξ be μ_α -random and let s_ξ be the homomorphism

$$s_\xi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$$
$$(\pi_i) \mapsto (\text{sgn}(\pi_i)).$$

The ergodic IRSs of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$

- Let $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ and let $S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$, where each $C_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is cyclic of order 2.
- Fix some subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$.
- Let ξ be μ_α -random and let s_ξ be the homomorphism

$$s_\xi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$$
$$(\pi_i) \mapsto (\text{sgn}(\pi_i)).$$

- Let $\xi \xrightarrow{f^A} H_\xi = s_\xi^{-1}(A)$.

The ergodic IRSs of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$

- Let $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ and let $S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$, where each $C_i = \{\pm 1\}$ is cyclic of order 2.
- Fix some subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$.
- Let ξ be μ_α -random and let s_ξ be the homomorphism

$$s_\xi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$$
$$(\pi_i) \mapsto (\text{sgn}(\pi_i)).$$

- Let $\xi \xrightarrow{f^A} H_\xi = s_\xi^{-1}(A)$.
- Then $\nu_\alpha^A = (f^A)_* \mu_\alpha$ is an ergodic IRS of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$.

Example: the “Maximal” IRSs

Observation

Note that if $A = S_\alpha$, then

$$\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}(g) = \mu_\alpha(\{ \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid g \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \})$$

Example: the “Maximal” IRSs

Observation

Note that if $A = S_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}(g) &= \mu_\alpha(\{ \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid g \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \}) \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)}\end{aligned}$$

Example: the “Maximal” IRSs

Observation

Note that if $A = S_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}(g) &= \mu_\alpha(\{ \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid g \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \}) \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)} = \chi_{(\alpha; \bar{0})}(g).\end{aligned}$$

Example: the “Maximal” IRSs

Observation

Note that if $A = S_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}(g) &= \mu_\alpha(\{ \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid g \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \}) \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)} = \chi_{(\alpha; \bar{0})}(g).\end{aligned}$$

Corollary

$\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}$ is indecomposable.

Example: the “Maximal” IRSs

Observation

Note that if $A = S_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\nu_\alpha^{S_\alpha}}(g) &= \mu_\alpha(\{ \xi \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid g \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Fin}(B_i^\xi) \}) \\ &= \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)} = \chi_{(\alpha; \bar{0})}(g).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If $A \neq S_\alpha$, then $\chi_{\nu_\alpha^A}$ is *not* indecomposable.

The Dual Group \widehat{S}_α enters the picture ...

- Let \widehat{S}_α be the compact group of homomorphisms

$$\sigma : S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \}.$$

The Dual Group \widehat{S}_α enters the picture ...

- Let \widehat{S}_α be the compact group of homomorphisms

$$\sigma : S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \}.$$

- For each $i \in I$, let c_i be the generator of $C_i \leq S_\alpha$; and for each homomorphism $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_\alpha$, let $\sigma(i) = \sigma(c_i)$.

The Dual Group \widehat{S}_α enters the picture ...

- Let \widehat{S}_α be the compact group of homomorphisms

$$\sigma : S_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \}.$$

- For each $i \in I$, let c_i be the generator of $C_i \leq S_\alpha$; and for each homomorphism $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_\alpha$, let $\sigma(i) = \sigma(c_i)$.

Definition

If $\sigma \in \widehat{S}_\alpha$, then χ_α^σ is the indecomposable character defined by

$$\chi_\alpha^\sigma(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sigma(i)^{n+1} \alpha_i^n \right)^{c_n(g)}.$$

The decomposition of the associated character

For each subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$, let

$$(\widehat{S_\alpha/A}) = \{ \sigma \in \widehat{S_\alpha} \mid \sigma(a) = 1 \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

and let μ_α^A be the Haar probability measure on $(\widehat{S_\alpha/A})$.

Theorem (Thomas, October 2018)

If α, A are as above, then

$$\chi_{\nu_\alpha^A} = \int_{\sigma \in (\widehat{S_\alpha/A})} \chi_\alpha^\sigma d\mu_\alpha^A(\sigma).$$

The decomposition of the associated character

For each subgroup $A \leq S_\alpha$, let

$$(\widehat{S_\alpha/A}) = \{ \sigma \in \widehat{S_\alpha} \mid \sigma(a) = 1 \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

and let μ_α^A be the Haar probability measure on $(\widehat{S_\alpha/A})$.

Theorem (Thomas, October 2018)

If α, A are as above, then

$$\chi_{\nu_\alpha^A} = \int_{\sigma \in (\widehat{S_\alpha/A})} \chi_\alpha^\sigma d\mu_\alpha^A(\sigma).$$

The Natural Reaction

Very pretty ... but what does it mean?

Asymptotic decompositions of permutation characters

Asymptotic decompositions of permutation characters

Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_t$ and that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Alt}(A_\ell) \leq H \trianglelefteq M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Sym}(A_\ell) \leq S = \text{Sym}(n).$$

Asymptotic decompositions of permutation characters

Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_t$ and that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Alt}(A_\ell) \leq H \trianglelefteq M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Sym}(A_\ell) \leq S = \text{Sym}(n).$$

Theorem (Clifford 1937)

With the above assumptions, we have that

$$\frac{1_H^S}{1_H^S(1)} =$$

Asymptotic decompositions of permutation characters

Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_t$ and that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Alt}(A_\ell) \leq H \trianglelefteq M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Sym}(A_\ell) \leq S = \text{Sym}(n).$$

Theorem (Clifford 1937)

With the above assumptions, we have that

$$\frac{1_H^S}{1_H^S(1)} = \frac{1}{[S : H]} (1_H^M)^S$$

Asymptotic decompositions of permutation characters

Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_t$ and that

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Alt}(A_\ell) \leq H \trianglelefteq M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq t} \text{Sym}(A_\ell) \leq S = \text{Sym}(n).$$

Theorem (Clifford 1937)

With the above assumptions, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1_H^S}{1_H^S(1)} &= \frac{1}{[S : H]} (1_H^M)^S \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in \text{Irr}(M/H)} \frac{1}{[M : H]} \frac{\theta^S}{\theta^S(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

An easy example ...

- Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup A_2$ and that

$$H = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Alt}(A_2) \trianglelefteq M = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Sym}(A_2) \leq S.$$

An easy example ...

- Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup A_2$ and that

$$H = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Alt}(A_2) \trianglelefteq M = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Sym}(A_2) \leq S.$$

- Then $\text{Irr}(M/H) = \{ 1_M, \theta \}$, where θ corresponds to $\text{sgn} : \text{Sym}(A_2) \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \}$.

An easy example ...

- Suppose that $n = A_1 \sqcup A_2$ and that

$$H = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Alt}(A_2) \trianglelefteq M = \text{Sym}(A_1) \oplus \text{Sym}(A_2) \leq S.$$

- Then $\text{Irr}(M/H) = \{ 1_M, \theta \}$, where θ corresponds to $\text{sgn} : \text{Sym}(A_2) \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \}$.
- Thus we have that

$$\frac{1_H^S}{1_H^S(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1_M^S}{1_M^S(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta_M^S}{\theta_M^S(1)}.$$

An easy example ...

- Note that if $g \in S$ is a k -cycle, then

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_1)\}|}{|S|} + \text{sgn}(g) \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_2)\}|}{|S|}$$

An easy example ...

- Note that if $g \in S$ is a k -cycle, then

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_1)\}|}{|S|} + \text{sgn}(g) \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_2)\}|}{|S|}$$

- Thus we obtain that

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{\binom{|A_1|}{k} + (-1)^{k+1} \binom{|A_2|}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}}$$

An easy example ...

- Note that if $g \in S$ is a k -cycle, then

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_1)\}|}{|S|} + \text{sgn}(g) \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_2)\}|}{|S|}$$

- Thus we obtain that

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{\binom{|A_1|}{k} + (-1)^{k+1} \binom{|A_2|}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} \approx \left(\frac{|A_1|}{n}\right)^k + (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{|A_2|}{n}\right)^k.$$

An easy example ...

- Note that if $g \in S$ is a k -cycle, then

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_1)\}|}{|S|} + \text{sgn}(g) \frac{|\{s \in S \mid sgs^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(A_2)\}|}{|S|}$$

- Thus we obtain that

$$\frac{\theta_M^S(g)}{[S : M]} = \frac{\binom{|A_1|}{k} + (-1)^{k+1} \binom{|A_2|}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} \approx \left(\frac{|A_1|}{n}\right)^k + (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{|A_2|}{n}\right)^k.$$

- And thus we begin to understand Thoma's theorem ...

The Main Theorem Revisited

Definition

- G is an **$L(\text{Alt})$ -group** if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of an increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i .
- Here we allow **arbitrary** embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Thomas 2018)

*If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are **precisely** the associated characters of its ergodic IRSs.*

The Asymptotic Approach to Characters

Theorem (Vershik-Kerov 1985)

If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the increasing union of the finite subgroups G_i and χ is an indecomposable character of G , then there exist characters θ_i of *irreducible* representations π_i of G_i such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_i(g)}{\theta_i(1)}.$$

Remark

Here $\theta_i(g) = \text{trace}(\pi_i(g))$ is the “*usual*” character associated with an irreducible representation π_i of the finite group G_i .

Irreducible Characters of Finite Symmetric Groups

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = l_2 + \dots + l_r$.

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = l_2 + \dots + l_r$.
- λ^* denotes the corresponding **dual partition**.

Notation

Let $\lambda = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r)$ be a **partition** of n ; i.e. a sequence of integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_r > 0$ and $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_r = n$.

- φ_λ denotes the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$.
- The **depth** of λ is $d(\lambda) = l_2 + \dots + l_r$.
- λ^* denotes the corresponding **dual partition**.
- \leq denotes the **lexicographic ordering** on the partitions of n .

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- *If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.*

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.
- If $\lambda^* = \lambda$, then θ_λ is the sum of two distinct irreducible characters.

Irreducible Characters of Finite Alternating Groups

Notation

Let $\theta_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$.

Theorem

- If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\theta_\lambda = \theta_\mu$ iff $\lambda^* = \mu$.
- θ_λ is irreducible iff $\lambda^* \neq \lambda$.
- If $\lambda^* = \lambda$, then θ_λ is the sum of two distinct irreducible characters.

Conclusion

The irreducible characters are “almost” parameterized by the partitions λ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,*

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|} .$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$,

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{bc d(\lambda)}$$

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{b c d(\lambda)}$$

and so $d(\lambda)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

An Upper Bound on the Irreducible Characters

Theorem (Roichman)

There exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that for sufficiently large n , for every partition $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ of n and every $g \in \text{Alt}(n)$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n - d(\lambda)}{n} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}(g)|}.$$

If $|\theta_\lambda(g)/\theta_\lambda(1)| \not\rightarrow 0$ and $|\text{supp}(g)|/n > c > 0$, then

$$\left| \frac{\theta_\lambda(g)}{\theta_\lambda(1)} \right| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d(\lambda)}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{d(\lambda)}} \right)^{b c d(\lambda)}$$

and so $d(\lambda)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition

Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$.

- The embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is **full** if $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ has no trivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} .
- G is a **full limit** of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ if every embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is full.

Lemma (Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$, then $\liminf |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i| > 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$.

Definition

Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$.

- The embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is **full** if $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ has no trivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} .
- G is a **full limit** of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ if every embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is full.

Remark

If $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group, then we can express $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$ as an increasing union of full limits $G(\ell)$.

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}$ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}$ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, χ_{con} is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

- Since $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, $d(\lambda_i)$ is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Sketch Proof for Full Limits

- Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}$ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G .
- By Vershik-Kerov, there exist partitions λ_i of $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ such that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\theta_{\lambda_i}(1)}.$$

- Since $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, $d(\lambda_i)$ is bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.
- Hence there exists an infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and a **fixed** (ℓ_2, \dots, ℓ_r) such that $\lambda_i = (n_i - d(\lambda_i), \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ for all $i \in I$.

A Little Hand-Waving ...

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)}$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}}$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}} = \lim_{i \in I} \left(\frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{|\Delta_i|} \right)^d.$$

A Little Hand-Waving ...

- Let $d = d(\lambda_i)$ and let π_{λ_i} be the permutation character corresponding to the action of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on the partitions $\Delta_i = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_r$ with $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.
- Then it turns out that

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\pi_{\lambda_i}(g)}{\pi_{\lambda_i}(1)} = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{\binom{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{d}}{\binom{|\Delta_i|}{d}} = \lim_{i \in I} \left(\frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}{|\Delta_i|} \right)^d.$$

- Hence if $\Omega_i = \Delta_i^d$ and $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega_i$ is the diagonal action, then

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|}.$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ;

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) =$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|}$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|} = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g))$$

The Loeb Measure Construction

- For each $i \in I$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i .
- Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the corresponding Loeb probability space.
- Then $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$.
- Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$.
- Then $\nu = f_* \mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G ; and for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|}{|\Omega_i|} = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g)) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).$$

Open Problems: Strong Simplicity

Theorem (Peterson-Thom 2013 & Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If the countably infinite simple group G is character rigid, then G is strongly simple.

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group which is not character rigid?

Open Problems: Strong Simplicity

Theorem (Peterson-Thom 2013 & Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If the countably infinite simple group G is character rigid, then G is strongly simple.

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group which is not character rigid?

Observation

The classes of strongly simple groups and character rigid simple groups are both co-analytic.

Open Problems: Strong Simplicity

Theorem (Peterson-Thom 2013 & Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If the countably infinite simple group G is character rigid, then G is strongly simple.

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group which is not character rigid?

Theorem

The class of character rigid simple locally finite groups is Borel.

Open Problems: Strong Simplicity

Theorem (Peterson-Thom 2013 & Thomas-Tucker-Drob 2016)

If the countably infinite simple group G is character rigid, then G is strongly simple.

Question

Does there exist a strongly simple (locally finite) group which is not character rigid?

Question

Is the class of strongly simple locally finite groups Borel?

Open Problems: The Indecomposability Problem

Definition

If $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is an ergodic action, then the associated character is

$$\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)).$$

Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ to be indecomposable.

Open Problems: The Classifiability Problems

Problem

*If G is an arbitrary countable simple locally finite group, do there necessarily exist “**nice parametrizations**” of the ergodic IRSs of G and of the indecomposable characters of G ?*

Open Problems: The Classifiability Problems

Problem

*If G is an arbitrary countable simple locally finite group, do there necessarily exist “**nice parametrizations**” of the ergodic IRSs of G and of the indecomposable characters of G ?*

Question

If G is a countable simple locally finite group, is the simplex of IRSs of G necessarily a **Bauer simplex**?

Open Problems: The Classifiability Problems

Problem

*If G is an arbitrary countable simple locally finite group, do there necessarily exist “**nice parametrizations**” of the ergodic IRSs of G and of the indecomposable characters of G ?*

Question

If G is a countable simple locally finite group, is the simplex of characters of G necessarily a **Bauer simplex**?

Open Problems: The Classifiability Problems

Problem

*If G is an arbitrary countable simple locally finite group, do there necessarily exist “**nice parametrizations**” of the ergodic IRSs of G and of the indecomposable characters of G ?*

Question

If G is a countable simple locally finite group, is the simplex of characters of G necessarily a **Bauer simplex**?

The End